

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/370,601	08/10/1999		KRISTINE B. FUIMAONO	34063/KMO/W1	8267
23363	7590 07/26/2004			EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE,		& HALE, LLP	RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3763	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1W

Advisory Action.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/370,601	FUIMAONO, KRISTINE B.
Examiner	Art Unit
Cris L. Rodriguez	3763

THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either	r a) or b)]
 a)	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspond 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for rep (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under bly originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be file 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to av	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further consideration a	nd/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for a issues for appeal; and/or	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	ng number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if su canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	bmitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because:	has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not dire raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cted SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not b explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 2-16,18-23,48,49,51,52 and 54-63.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24-39 and 41-47.	
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449)	Paper No(s).
10. Other:	M/Haya
CM	MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Cantinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 9/370,601

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search with the language of the electrode remains in a fixed position relative to the probe body and the handle during operation of the probe.